APPENDIX A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Plaintiff,* *v.* AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY; WESTERN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.; and BELL TELEPHONE LABORATORIES, INC., *Defendants.*	Civil Action No. 74-1698
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Plaintiff,* *v.* WESTERN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., and AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, *Defendants.*	Civil Action No. 82-0192
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Plaintiff,* *v.* AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, et al. *Defendants.*	Misc. No. 82-0025 (PI)

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that the People of the State of Illinois appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit from the orders of the District Court, filed August 24, 1982, modifying the judgment in *United States v. Western Electric Company, Inc. et al.*, Civil Action No. 82-0192, and dismissing the action in *United States v. American Telephone and Telegraph Co., et al.*, Civil Action No. 74-1698.

DATED: October 29, 1982

TYRONE C. FAHNER
Attorney General of Illinois

BY: _____
Fredric D. Tannenbaum
Assistant Attorney General

Fredric D. Tannenbaum
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Division
188 West Randolph Street, Suite 2315
Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 793-7780

APPENDIX B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Plaintiff,* v. WESTERN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., and AMERICAN TELEGRAPH COMPANY, *Defendants.*	Civil Action No. 82-0192
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Plaintiff,* v. AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, et al. *Defendants.*	Misc. No. 82-0025 (PI)

ADOPTION OF MOTION FOR EXPEDITED APPEAL

The People of the State of Illinois ("Illinois"), by their attorney, TYRONE C. FAHNER, Attorney General, hereby respond to this court's telephone request of November 5, 1982 and comment on the Motion for Expedited Appeal filed on November 5, 1982 by the State of Maryland. Al-

though Illinois has not yet received a copy of the Motion, the State is familiar with the position and reasoning of that motion. In support thereof, Illinois states as follows:

1. The Expediting Act is intended to confer Supreme Court jurisdiction on cases such as this. 15 U.S.C. §29(b).

2. Particular Intervenors-appellants have appealed from a final order. This court has disposed of all matters of law and fact raised in the complaint. The order of this court dated August 11, 1982 is final despite requiring that plans be filed. *See, Brown Shoe Co. v. United States*, 370 U.S. 294 (1962).

3. The subject-matter of this case involves the reorganization of the telecommunications industry. The public importance of this reorganization cannot be overstated. All parties have expended and will continue to expend considerable resources pursuant to the orders of this court. A speedy, final resolution of the disputed issues will save valuable resources and provide certainty to the area.

WHEREFORE, Illinois hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the Motion to Expedite Appeal filed by the State of Maryland and requests this court to certify this appeal for United States Supreme Court resolution under the Expediting Act.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
TYRONE C. FAHNER
Attorney General of Illinois

BY: _____
Fredric D. Tannenbaum
Assistant Attorney General

Fredric D. Tannenbaum
Assistant Attorney General
188 West Randolph Street, Suite 2315
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 793-7780

I certify that a copy of the foregoing Adoption of Motion for Expedited Appeal was mailed to the Honorable Harold Greene, U.S. District Court, Washington, D.C. 20001, by express mail on November 8, 1982, and subsequently to all parties of record.

/s/ FREDRIC D. TANNENBAUM